


TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

MAR 19 2026

TAMMY H. DOWNS, CLERK
By:  DEP CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
CENTRAL DIVISION

Jason Matthew Lynch
Reg. No. 07405-510
Plaintiff, Pro Se

v.

Case No. 4:24-cv-00740-LPR-BBM

DOES, et al.
Defendants.

* This may have been
Sent in already by
wife in an attempt
to quickly correct this,
if so that one may be
stuck and here is one
with my sig... Per Rules

NOTICE TO THE CLERK AND THE COURT REGARDING DOCKET ENTRY 127

Plaintiff Jason Matthew Lynch, Reg. No. 07405-510, appearing pro se, respectfully files this Notice to clarify a filing error regarding Docket Entry 127 in the above-captioned matter.

I. Clarification of Filing Error

Docket Entry 127 in this case was not intended to be filed in Case No. 4:24-cv-00740-LPR-BBM.

The document was instead intended to be filed as an attachment to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Docket Entry 23) in Case No. 4:26-cv-00118-JM-JJV.

The document appears to have been docketed in this case because the attachment referenced materials that were previously included in Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint ("TAC") filed in this action. Because the document contained this case number, it was mistakenly associated with this case rather than the new action in which it was intended to be filed.

Plaintiff respectfully apologizes for any confusion this may have caused.

II. Plaintiff Does Not Seek to Amend the Complaint in This Case

Plaintiff wishes to clarify that the operative complaint in this case has already passed screening, and Plaintiff does not seek to amend the complaint in Case No. 4:24-cv-00740-LPR-BBM.

Accordingly, Docket Entry 127 should not remain on the docket in this case, as it was not intended to function as a pleading, amendment, or supplement in this action.

III. Court Authority to Correct the Record

Federal courts possess inherent authority to manage and correct their own dockets to ensure accurate records and efficient administration of justice. See:

Dietz v. Bouldin, 579 U.S. 40, 45 (2016)

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630 31 (1962)

Additionally, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(a) permits correction of clerical mistakes or errors arising from oversight in the record.

Courts routinely exercise this authority to strike or relocate filings mistakenly placed in the wrong case in order to maintain an accurate docket.

IV. Plaintiff's Request

Plaintiff respectfully notifies the Clerk and prays that the Court order the following administrative corrections:

1. That Docket Entry 127 be STRUCK from the docket in Case No. 4:24-cv-00740-LPR-BBM and removed from this case.
2. That the filing instead be added as an attachment to Docket Entry 23 (Amended Complaint) in Case No. 4:26-cv-00118-JM-JJV, which is where the document was originally intended to be filed.
3. That the Court recognize Plaintiff does not seek to amend the complaint in this case, as the operative complaint has already passed screening.

V. Explanation to the Court

Plaintiff wishes to express sincere respect for this Court, the Clerk's Office, and the Court's time. Plaintiff would never intentionally do anything to burden the Court or create unnecessary work.

Plaintiff is currently attempting to actively litigate multiple federal civil cases while incarcerated, and this filing error occurred while attempting to fully explain the claims asserted in the newer action. Because the attachment referenced materials from Plaintiff's earlier complaint in this case, the document included the case number for this action, which likely caused the filing to be docketed here.

Plaintiff sincerely apologizes for any confusion or inconvenience this may have caused and will ensure that all future filings are clearly labeled to prevent similar issues.

Plaintiff is a serious pro se litigant who is doing his best to properly litigate these matters and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

As the Supreme Court has recognized, filings submitted by pro se litigants should be liberally construed. See:

Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972)


Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007).

Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court's understanding regarding this clerical filing error.

VI. Conclusion

Plaintiff respectfully thanks the Court and the Clerk's Office for their time and consideration of this notice and respectfully requests the administrative corrections described above so that the docket accurately reflects Plaintiff's intended filings.

Respectfully submitted,


/s/ Jason Matthew Lynch